

City Delegation meeting 21 July 2026

Agenda

- Time: 11am to 12:30pm
- Meeting held: via Teams 22

Attendees: Katie Porrer (Chair of Planning Committee), Martin Smart (Vice-Chair of Planning Committee, Toby Williams (Delivery Manager), Nick Yager

Main issues to consider:

- Relevant material planning considerations raising significant planning concerns
- Significant implications for adopted policy
- The nature, scale and complexity of the proposed development
- Planning history
- Degree of public involvement

Development

26/01438/OUT – 47 Histon Road Arbury

Outline application for the erection of a two storey one bed self/custom build detached dwelling in the rear garden of 47 Histon Road with some matters reserved except for access, layout and scale.

Reason for Inclusion

Degree of public interest – 5 comments (in objection)

Discussion

The case officer presented the application and summarised the nature of the representations made.

Relevant material planning considerations raising significant planning concerns

Whilst several representations from third parties have been received, the planning issues raised were not considered to be significant in scope or impact beyond the immediate locality and not of a nature to warrant consideration by planning committee.

Significant implications for adopted policy

The proposal does not seek to challenge whether any of the policies in the local plan are up-to-date. The scheme does not trigger a wide array of policies or create any doubt as to which policies are engaged for decision making purposes. The planning concerns, including the scheme's compatibility with adopted policy, are not considered significant and do not warrant referral.

The Greater Cambridge Shared Planning Service is a strategic partnership between Cambridge City Council and South Cambridgeshire District Council

The nature, scale and complexity of the proposed development

It represents a minor form of development and is neither of a scale or complexity to warrant referral to planning committee. There was some discussion about the existing pattern of development along the roadway and differentiation in terms of visibility, confinement and width of the site which may prompt a more nuanced approach to the merits of the proposal. This matter was ultimately left for officers to consider.

Planning history

There is appeal history on this site which indicates a slightly deeper understanding of the schemes merits relative to the dismissed appeal. This is not a matter necessarily warranting committee's time but pertinent to any delegated report.

Degree of public involvement

Whilst the number of objections received has triggered consideration by the Delegation Panel, the proposal itself does not appear to have resulted in representations from a significant wider populace. The degree of public involvement does not warrant referral.

Decision

Do not refer to Planning Committee