

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 21 July 2022 16:38
To: PlanningComments
Subject: Re: 22/01703/FUL

Ok, yes please log the objection.

On Thu, 21 Jul 2022, 16:26 PlanningComments, <PlanningComments@greatercambridgeplanning.org> wrote:

Good afternoon,

Please see our policy below:

Planning law requires that the comment must be publicised to be considered. The requirements are as follows.

You may make a comment supporting or objecting to this application. Your comments will be submitted and in due course made available online. We will not display your personal data online.

Please note that your address will be made visible with your comment as part of the National Planning regulations to ensure transparency in the planning process.

Any comment received on a current planning application will be published on this website. This will include your address and the full text of your comment. Please do not include personal information in the text of your comments, but these will be redacted if found. Comments that are defamatory, offensive or discriminatory will be removed.

Please confirm that you would like your comments published along with address. Your name and email address will be redacted.

Kind regards

Technical Support Team

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 21 July 2022 16:18
To: PlanningComments <PlanningComments@greatercambridgeplanning.org>
Subject: Re: 22/01703/FUL

Good afternoon.

[REDACTED] twenty pence road, Cottenham, CB24 8PP.

Please can this be redacted when published along with my name for fear of reprisals.

[REDACTED]

On Thu, 21 Jul 2022, 12:19 PlanningComments,
<PlanningComments@greatercambridgeplanning.org> wrote:

Good afternoon,

Please could you confirm your site address, in order for your comments to registered.

With thanks.

Technical Support Team

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 20 July 2022 18:23
To: Planning <planning@greatercambridgeplanning.org>
Subject: 22/01703/FUL

Good evening.

In regards to the above application to turn agricultural land in to yet another G&T site.

Just to bring to your attention that unsurprisingly the work is currently being done before a decision is made and there are already static caravans now on site.

I live less than a mile south of this and had not been aware of the application, If I had I would have contested it.

There are already ample sites in Cottenham for the purpose of static caravans including smithy fen and Setchell drove which both currently have multiple vacant plots showing there simply isn't a need for more G&T locations.

In addition this plot is on a dangerous bend which simply isn't suitable for the amount of vehicles both private and work vans this will bring to the site.

I urge the council to refuse this permission and take immediate enforcement action to prevent the continuation of building works.

[REDACTED]

Disclaimer

The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.

This email has been scanned for viruses and malware, and may have been automatically archived

Disclaimer

The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.

This email has been scanned for viruses and malware, and may have been automatically archived