

Delegation meeting - Minutes

- **Date:** 7 May 2024
- **Time:** 11:00 – 12:30
- **Meeting held:** via Teams

Attendees: Cllr Martin Cahn (Chair of Planning Committee), Cllr Peter Fane (Vice Chair of Planning Committee), Rebecca Smith (Delivery Manager (DM West & Compliance)), Melissa Reynolds (Planning Officer)

Apologies:

Minutes approved by: Cllr Martin Cahn (Chair of Planning Committee) 15.05.2024

24/00867/LBC – 61 High Street Linton

Construction of a fire resistant lobby at the foot of the existing stair and installation of 2 No. fire doors.

Reason for Call-in Request:

Parish requested/objection -

Insufficient info (pertaining to the inside of the building), possible inclusion of unauthorised works (conversion to a dwelling), and it does not preserve or enhance the character of the LB.

Key Considerations:

The Case Officer (MR) introduced the application outlining the details of the listed building consent application, as well as the existing context of the site and surrounding area, together details of the site designations. The listed building consent application relates to the installation of two fire resistant doors and the constriction of a fire-resistant lobby.

The case officer advised that the Listed Buildings Officer has been consulted as part of the assessment of the application and that they have advised that the works would be installed with no removal of historic timber framing and would therefore be reversible should requirements change in future. They consider that the impact on the character of the listed building would be minimal and therefore raised no objections.

The concerns raised by the Parish Council relating to a change of use of the dwelling were noted, however this would require a separate full planning application, this listed building consent application relates solely to the internal works listed.

It was acknowledged that the nature, scale and complexity of the proposed development is not significant in context. There is no public interest in the scheme, and it was not considered there were any policy implications. Consequently, in consultation with the Chair of the Planning Committee, the Delivery Manager considered, on balance, the proposal should not be referred to the planning committee.

Decision

Do not refer to Planning Committee