



Outlook

Consultation response - consultation portal closed early

[REDACTED]

Date Sun 3/29/2026 13:00

To cil <cil@GreaterCambridgePlanning.org>

Dear Officers

The consultation was due to close on 29 March (midnight). The ability to respond on the website was not available at the time of writing, so it appears to have closed early. Please confirm.

In any event, please take these short resident submissions into consideration:

First, it is noted that the preference for CCC is to retain s.106 agreements as the main source of funding. I disagree with this approach. The CIL format is a clear and transparent means of ensuring that the charging is uniform throughout the district and is not subject to developer influence.

Second, on that basis, the level of CIL payment to be charged is low, even on the BNP Paribas report, greater sums can be secured, much higher than the £60 imposed without imposing a constraint on viability. Given the urgent need for infrastructure improvements in Cambridge, and a mechanism for greater funding, the rates proposed are too low, with no clear justification for these reduced rates.

Third, I disagree that the proportion for funding for sites neighbouring development areas should be as low as 15%; it should be higher. The neighbouring areas to development often experience greater demand on resources- road infrastructure, schooling, play space and GP access therefore a greater proportion should be seen to be locally available to those most impacted.

Yours faithfully

[REDACTED]